

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of South Dakota

United States of America)

v.)

JAMES DEAN HANAPEL)

Case No. 5:21-mj-146

Defendant(s)

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

I, the complainant in this case, state that the following is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

On or about the date(s) of August 12, 2021 in the county of Pennington in the
District of South Dakota, the defendant(s) violated:

Code Section

18 U.S.C. § 2422(b)

Offense Description

Enticement of a Minor Using the Internet

The complaint is based on the facts set forth in the attached affidavit. The offense is described as follows:

The defendant, James Dean Hanapel, did use a facility and means of interstate commerce, that is, a cellular phone and computer attached to the Internet, to attempt to knowingly persuade, induce, entice, and coerce an individual who had not yet attained 18 years of age, to engage in sexual activity with the minor female, for which the defendant could be charged with a criminal offense, namely, criminal sexual conduct in violation of SDCL § 22-22-1(5), Rape in the Fourth Degree, and SDCL §§ 22-22-7 and 22-22-7.1, Felony Sexual Contact with a Child Under 16, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b).

☒ Continued on the attached sheet.

Complainant's signature

HSI SPECIAL AGENT ROBERT ESPINOSA

Printed name and title

Sworn to before me and: ☒ signed in my presence.☐ Submitted, attested to and acknowledged by reliable electronic means.Date: 8-13-21

Judge's signature

City and state: Rapid City, SD

Daneta Wollmann

Printed name and title

cc: AUSA Collins
Ces